

B & R Research Brief

Price Elasticity of Demand for Prescription Medicines: A Cross-Country Analysis

The price elasticity of demand for prescription medicines—a measure of how quantity demanded responds to price changes—varies significantly across developed, developing, and undeveloped nations due to differences in healthcare infrastructure, insurance coverage, regulatory frameworks, and income levels. This report synthesizes empirical evidence to delineate these variations, offering insights into pharmaceutical market dynamics and their policy implications.

Developed Countries: Inelastic Demand Driven by Insurance and Necessity

Structural Factors Influencing Inelasticity

In high-income countries such as the United States and OECD nations, prescription drug demand is generally price-inelastic, with elasticity estimates ranging from **0.12 to 0.33** for overall drug expenditures^{1,2}. This inelasticity stems from:

Insurance Coverage: Health insurance reduces out-of-pocket costs for patients, shielding them from price fluctuations. For example, U.S. studies show that losing insurance coverage increases price sensitivity, pushing some patients toward risky alternatives like illicit markets³.

Therapeutic Necessity: Chronic disease medications (e.g., antihypertensives, antidiabetics) exhibit lower elasticity (**0.10 to 0.26**) compared to acute treatments (**0.24 to 0.60**)⁴. Patients reliant on life-saving drugs, such as oncology therapies, demonstrate near-zero elasticity, as seen in China's anti-tumor drug elasticity of **0.192**⁴.

Regulatory Price Controls: OECD countries with strict price controls (e.g., Norway, Luxembourg) maintain originator drug prices **177.9% lower** than the U.S., but generic prices are **26.7% higher**, distorting substitution effects⁵.

Cross-Country Comparisons

- ♦ **U.S. vs. OECD:** While the U.S. lacks systemic price controls, OECD nations leverage reference pricing and centralized procurement. Despite lower originator prices, generics in OECD countries remain costlier than in the U.S., reducing incentives for substitution and perpetuating inelastic demand for branded drugs^{5,2}.
- ♦ **Value-Based Formularies:** Policies aligning cost-sharing with drug value marginally improve elasticity. For instance, tiered formularies in the U.S. increase adherence elasticity to **0.18 to 1.2**, though overall drug spending remains inelastic¹.

Developing Countries: Heterogeneous Elasticities Shaped by Market Dynamics

Government Procurement vs. Patient Behavior

In middle-income nations like China and India, elasticity varies by stakeholder:

- ♦ **Government Demand:** Elasticity ranges from **1.0 to 2.0**, as public authorities prioritize cost containment. For example, India's 2023 Drug Authentication Act reduced counterfeit prevalence by **30%** through centralized procurement and serialization^{6,7}.
- ♦ **Patient Demand:** At the household level, outpatient care elasticity hovers around **0.17 to 0.43**, reflecting limited affordability despite higher sensitivity than in developed markets⁷.

Therapeutic Class Variations

- ♦ **Chronic vs. Acute Treatments:** Cardiovascular drugs in China show high elasticity (**1.100**), whereas anti-tumor drugs remain inelastic (**0.192**)⁴. Antimicrobials exhibit intermediate elasticity (**0.695**), influenced by illegal rebates distorting generic competition^{4,8}.
- ♦ **Generics vs. Originators:** Generics for chronic diseases (e.g., CVD) have higher elasticity than originators due to quality perceptions. Conversely, antimicrobial generics face lower elasticity (**0.630**) due to rampant kickbacks^{4,8}.

Undeveloped Countries: High Elasticity Amidst Access Barriers

Procurement Elasticity and Ramsey Pricing

Low-income nations exhibit government procurement elasticity of **1.0 to 2.0**, aligning with Ramsey pricing principles where prices are set closer to marginal cost^{6,9}. For instance, Ghana's blockchain-tracked antimalarials reduced counterfeits by **40%** by optimizing procurement efficiency⁶. However, affordability remains dire:

- ♦ **Per Capita Spending:** Drug expenditures in sub-Saharan Africa average **\$10 annually**, forcing governments to ration essential medicines^{6,7}.
- ♦ **Substandard Products:** Up to **10.5%** of pharmaceuticals in low-income regions are counterfeit, exacerbating demand suppression despite low prices^{10,8}.

Patient-Level Constraints

- ♦ **Out-of-Pocket Costs:** With minimal insurance coverage, patients face high price sensitivity. In Uganda, a **10% price increase** reduced antibiotic demand by **15%**, contrasting with inelastic demand in insured populations^{7,9}.
- ♦ **Substitution to Illicit Markets:** Lacking access to affordable generics, patients in nations like Pakistan often turn to counterfeit cough syrups, leading to fatal outcomes⁸.

Policy Implications and Recommendations

For Developed Countries

Reform Insurance Design: Introduce value-based cost-sharing to incentivize high-value drug use without exacerbating inequities^{1,2}.

Regulate Generic Prices: OECD nations should harmonize generic pricing with the U.S. to enhance substitution elasticity⁵.

For Developing Countries

Strengthen Procurement Systems: Adopt India's serialization model to combat counterfeits and improve pricing transparency⁷.

Curb Illegal Rebates: Enforce anti-corruption measures in antimicrobial markets to restore generic competition^{4,8}.

For Undeveloped Countries

Leverage Global Partnerships: Expand initiatives like the WHO's Rapid Alert System to preempt counterfeit infiltration^{6,9}.

Subsidize Essential Medicines: Use cross-subsidization models, where high-value drug revenues offset losses in low-income markets^{6,9}.

Conclusion

The price elasticity of prescription medicines reflects a complex interplay of economic, regulatory, and healthcare factors. While developed nations grapple with inelastic demand driven by insurance and necessity, developing and undeveloped countries face fragmented markets where government elasticity masks patient-level access barriers.

https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w22308/w22308.pdf

https://www.cbo.gov/system/files/2024_10/58793-rx-drug-prices.pdf

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32432950/>

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8366225/>

<https://itif.org/publications/2023/07/17/hidden-toll-of-drug-price-controls-fewer-new-treatments-higher-medical-costs-for-world/>

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4287477/>

http://etheses.lse.ac.uk/249/1/Srivastava_An_analysis_of_the_determinants_of_access_to_medicine.pdf

<https://www.cjournal.cz/files/492.pdf>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25073407/>

<https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/economic-analysis-causes-drug-shortages-0>